

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

MAR 26 2008

PART II. REMARKS

1. In Applicant's previous Communication filed on 12 October 2007, Claims 61-63, 68, 81, 82, 85, and 87-99 were presented for prosecution. In the foregoing AMENDMENTS TO CLAIMS (PART I), the previously presented Claims 1-60, 62, 64-81, 83-91, 93, and 95-99 are canceled; Claims 61, 63, and 82 are amended; and a new Claim 100 is added. Claims 61, 63, 82, 92, and 94 stand rejected by the Examiners. Accordingly, Claims 61, 63, 82, 92, 94 and 100 are presented for prosecution herein.

§ 1. Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 102

2. In view of the new primary prior art reference cited in the Office Action, *i.e.*, NAWAZ *et al.* (5,959,621): (i) Claims 62, 81, 85, 87, 91, 93, and 96-99 are canceled (see PART I above); (ii) Claims 61 and 82 are amended to include the following claim limitation:

"SAID LIVE INFORMATION BEING ASSOCIATED WITH AN ONLINE ACCOUNT OF SAID USER".

Such a claim limitation shall be sufficient to overcome NAWAZ *et al.*, because in rejecting Claims 61 and 82 in the Office Action, the Examiners refer to ref. no. 140 (a hypertext viewer) in FIG. 3 of NAWAZ *et al.* as equivalent to the Claim Element of "LIVE COMPONENT". However, NAWAZ *et al.* does not teach that the information displayed within the hypertext viewer (140) pertains to any of the user's "ONLINE ACCOUNT".

3. Claims 92 and 94 depend from Claims 61 and 82, respectively. Thus Claims 92 and 94 cannot be anticipated by NAWAZ *et al.* when Claims 61 and 82, as amended, are not anticipated by NAWAZ *et al.*

§ 2. Claim Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

4. With respect to rejection of Claim 63, in view of the new prior art references cited in the Office Action, *i.e.*, NAWAZ *et al.* and VONG *et al.* (2002/0085045), Claim 63 is amended to include the following limitation:

"WHEREIN SAID LIVE COMPONENT INCLUDES A GRAPHICAL ICON, IMAGE OR DISPLAY OF ONE OR MORE MAIL ENVELOPS OR POSTCARD, FOR INDICATING THAT SAID LIVE COMPONENT PERTAINS TO ELECTRONIC MAILS OR

MAR 26 2008

COMMUNICATIONS, AND A GRAPHICAL DISPLAY OF A NUMBER FOR
REPRESENTING SAID NUMBER OF EMAILS RECEIVED".

Such a claim limitation shall be sufficient to overcome the combination of NAWAZ *et al.* and VONG *et al.*, since neither one of these two references teaches employing "GRAPHICAL ICON, IMAGE OR DISPLAY OF ONE OR MORE MAIL ENVELOPS OR POSTCARD" for the purpose of indicating that the "LIVE INFORMATION" pertains to electronic communications or mails.

5. In view of the new prior art references cited in the Office Action in rejecting Claims 68, 88, 89, 90 and 95, *i.e.*, NAWAZ *et al.* and DREWS *et al.*(5,831,615), these Claims are canceled in the foregoing AMENDMENTS TO CLAIMS (see PART I above).

PART III. CONCLUSION

1. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.111 and 1.112 , in view of the foregoing AMENDMENTS TO CLAIMS and REMARKS. Applicant respectfully submits that the Application is in condition for allowance, and such an action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted

SIGNED ON: 26 March 2008
BY: Shalong Maa, Ph.D. *Pro Se* Applicant
P.O. Box 600118,
Dallas, TX 75360-0118
sm2k@yahoo.com; (214) 228-8679

